

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,299	07/22/2003	John Bryan Harvey	HOLO 1144 PUS	7881
41131	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
KENNETH EARL DARNELL 2010 WEST SEVENTH STREET			TSIDULKO, MARK	
COFFEYVILLE, KS 67337			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2875	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T				
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	10/625,299	HARVEY, JOHN BRY	YAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	. /			
	Mark Tsidulko	2875	Ar			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ıly 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			erits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	** *					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
	•					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Packer (US 6,773,135).

Referring to Claims 1, 10 Packer discloses a luminaire for illuminating a surface including a lamp housing, a lamp disposed within the housing and a reflector mounted within the housing (claim1) for generating light onto the surface in an asymmetric distribution (see Figs.7a, 7b).

Referring to Claims 2, 19 Packer discloses a refractor affixed to the lamp housing and cooperating with a reflector means to direct the light from the lamp (claim 1).

Referring to Claims 3, 11 Packer discloses a lamp vertically oriented within the lamp housing.

Referring to Claim 4 Packer discloses (Fig. 6b) a luminaire including a main reflector [78] having a curvilinear surface and a secondary reflector [76] mounted behind the lamp.

Referring to Claim 5 Packer discloses that the main reflector is parabolic (col.4, lines57-60).

Referring to Claim 7 Packer discloses (Fig.4b) the side reflective panels [24].

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

Referring to Claims 8, 16, 17 Packer discloses a choice of finishes of the reflecting surfaces (col.18, lines 8-10).

Referring to Claim 9 Packer discloses (Fig.2a) a lamp disposed in proximity to the side panels [24].

Referring to Claim 18 Packer discloses (Fig. 15) a lamp disposed within the housing equidistantly from side edges and the light being directed onto the surface of the panel in a symmetric distribution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Packer (US 6,773,135) in view of Barthel (US 4,027,151).

Packer discloses the instant claimed invention except for that the secondary reflector is an elliptical reflector.

Barthel discloses luminaire used a combination of parabolic and elliptical reflectors in order to obtain an even light distribution of a planar surface (col.1, lined 37-40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the secondary reflector having an elliptical surface, as taught by Barthel for the device of Packer, in order to obtain an even light distribution of a planar surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Referring to Claim 12 the prior art fails to show a luminaire wherein a vertically oriented lamp is more closely spaced relative to one side of the housing and the light being directed onto the surface of the panel in an asymmetrical distribution.

Claims 13-17 are objected as claims depended on claim 12.

Claim 20 is allowed, because the prior art fails to show a luminaire wherein a vertically oriented lamp is more closely spaced relative to one side of the housing and the light being directed onto the surface of the panel in an asymmetrical distribution.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (571)272-2384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,299

Art Unit: 2875

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.T. November 8, 2004

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800